भारत सरकार **Government of India**
रेल मंत्रालय **Ministry of Railways**
रेलवे बोर्ड(**Railway Board)**

International Year 2025 of Cooperatives
Cooperatives Build a Better World

CONFIDENTIAL

No. 2025/CE-I/CBL/13/M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha New Delhi, dated: 20.02.2026

The General Manager
Western Railway

Sub: Banning of business with M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha, Ward No. 12/C, Plot No. 224, Lilashah Nagar, Kutch, Gandhidham regarding irregularities in execution of works related to work order No. RTM/2020-21-79 in Ratlam Division.

Ref: Western Railway's letter no. E/161/2021/06/00345/P/V4/N/RTM dated 20.10.2023 and WR's letter No. WR/454/2021/E-203106 dated 18.12.2025.

M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha, Ward No. 12/C, Plot No. 224, Lilashah Nagar, Kutch, Gandhidham were served with a Memorandum and Statement of charges for banning of business dealings with them for various irregularities in execution of works related to work order No.RTM/2020-21-79 in Ratlam Division and for breaching the code of integrity as per GCC-2022.

2. Under this contract, mainly the structural steel fabrication work of platform Goods shed has been done at Dhoswas yard of Ratlam division. Based on source information, a vigilance check was carried out on 10.06.2021 and various irregularities on the part of contractor were found, which are as follows:-

(i) The Scrap steel plates (most probably released wagon plate) were being used at site for fabrication of battens and stiffeners of cover shed at DHWS instead of new Steel plates required as per contract agreement. This shows malafide intention of the agency, which had used such scrap plate for fabrication of platform shed for more than 13 bays, before vigilance check. Use of these old scrap steel plates caused loss to the railway amounting to Rs 745619/-.

(ii) As per special condition of contract, this structural steel from only SAIL/TISCO/JINDAL/RINL/ESSAR/IISCO and any other integrated steel plant was allowed. It is also mentioned that the necessary purchase bill along with the test certificate for steel should be obtained and submitted to the engineer in charge. Steel without a test certificate from approved laboratory/Engineering college shall not be used in the work.

No material invoices, material test certificates were available for steel plates except one handwritten invoice for 23 ton steel plates without mention of plate thickness, brand, grade of steel etc. which are must for any proper invoice. Such invoice is not acceptable as per specification of steel items mentioned in contract agreement vide para-15&16. Also no invoice was found for plate quantity paid in 1st RA/Bill. Thus requirements as per contract agreement have not been met in this contract by the agency while procuring and utilizing structural steel plates.

(iii) The agency did not follow the shape mentioned in the working drawing for the gusset plate of columns. In Columns, the bottom gusset plates have been fabricated in rectangular shape while in the working drawing used for fabrication, it is trapezoidal shaped. The payment of these gusset plates had also been done for rectangular shape causing loss to railway amounting to ₹ 57197.80/-

(iv) Substandard quality of work was found to be done as various erected columns were found tilted due to improper pedestal top level.

(v) The agency had also used various Structural steel channels (ISMC-400) of unapproved brand 'V-MC' at site during execution in this contract for covering shed work.

(vi) In this tender work, the agency had to submit the structural design & drawing details of P/F covering shed, duly approved by NIT/Govt Engineering College which will have to be further approved by Railway before actual commencement of work. But the agency has failed to submit the design and

20.2.26

drawing for cover shed as per condition of contract vide para-17 of Tender No RTM/2020-21-79. Agency had started the covering shed fabrication work without any approved drawing. During investigation it was revealed that an unsigned & unapproved drawing was being followed at site for P/F covering shed work. No records were found at Division level also for submitting any drawing & design from the agency.

(vii) The agency had not prepared any fabrication/cutting register for cover shed work to ascertain the work done at site and its verification on a daily basis. No QAP (quality assurance program) was prepared by the agency for Structural steel work for covering shed fabrication of Dhoswas yard, for quality assurance.

2.1 M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha executed the steel fabrication work by using scrap plate materials, and without approved drawing and took excess payment as mentioned above, causing total loss of Rs: 10,53,579/- to the Railways. M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha executed substandard work by fraudulent means in collusion with Railway Officials in violation of Para-19(4) of GCC which specifies that, "The contractor shall execute the work true to alignment, grade, levels and dimensions as shown in the drawing and as directed by the Engineer's representative and shall check these at frequent intervals".

2.2. As such, the contractor has not followed the condition of contract agreement executed with Western Railway Administration.

2.3 Reply to the Memorandum submitted by M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha through Western Railway has been considered in detail by the Competent Authority, who has concluded that the justification offered by the agency is not tenable due to the reason(s) as follows:

(i) The contactor says they did not use scrap steel. However, during the inspection by vigilance on 10.06.2021, scrap steel plates (likely old wagon plates) were clearly found at the site and were already used in many bays. The contractor has not given any proper hills or certificates to prove that new steel was used. Only an informal handwritten bill was found which is not acceptable as per the contract. Therefore, the charge stands correct.

(ii) As per contract, the contractor requires to buy steel only from approved plants and to submit proper invoices and test reports. But the contractor could not show these documents for the plates used. The explanation given in the representation does not clarify the mismatch between steel used and steel bills submitted. So, the charge remains valid.

(iii) Vigilance found that the contractor made rectangular gusset plates, whereas the approved drawing shows trapezoidal plates. The contractor's excuse that "work was still going on" is not convincing because fabrication of parts is done before erection, and once made incorrectly, the loss already happens. Therefore, this charge is also correct.

(iv) The contractor admits the pedestal tops were uneven but says that this is "normal". However, during inspection, already erected columns were found tilted. This shows poor workmanship and lack of proper supervision. Hence, the charge of substandard work is justified.

(v) The vigilance team found channels from the 'VMC' brand, which is not an approved source. The contractor has not provided any proper explanation or document to prove otherwise. This is a clear violation of the tender condition. The charge stands correct.

(vi) The contractor claims they submitted drawings approved by MNIT Bhopal, but there is no proof that these drawings were ever approved by the Railway, which is mandatory. No record exists at the division showing submission or approval. Hence, the work was done on unapproved drawings, confirming the charge.

(vii) The contractor has not denied that they failed to maintain the fabrication cutting register and Quality Assurance Plan (QAP). These documents are essential for structural steel work. Their absence indicates poor quality control. The charge is correct.

23.2.26

(viii) The contractor has not provided any evidence to counter the financial loss calculated during inspection. The claim that "no excess payment was taken" does not address the actual issue, which is loss due to wrong fabrication, scrap material, and unapproved steel. Hence, this charge is valid.

2.4 As such, it is observed that the contractor/firm has done substandard quality of the work and breached the code of integrity and the firm's representation contains several factual discrepancies & unsupported claims.

3. Keeping in view of the evidences and facts on records & remarks, it is clearly established that the contractor M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha, Ward No. 12/C, Plot No. 224, Lilashah Nagar, Kutch, Gandhidham has deviated from tendering condition with malafide intention. The reasons cited by the contractor are just to shirk from his sole responsibility. Such an act on the part of the contractor is ill intended and deceitful.

4. It has therefore, been decided by Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) to ban business dealing M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha, Ward No. 12/C, Plot No. 224, Lilashah Nagar, Kutch, Gandhidham and also with their allied/sister concerns/and firms/partners for a period of 02 (Two) years on Indian Railways and Production Units from the date of deactivation of Login ID on IREPS.

5. Zonal Railways/Production Units shall ensure to deactivate the Login ID of M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha, Ward No. 12/C, Plot No. 224, Lilashah Nagar, Kutch, Gandhidham and also with their allied/sister concerns/and partners/firms for above mentioned period.

6. Receipt of this letter may please be acknowledged.

20.2.26

(अजीत कुमार झा)
कार्यपालक निदेशक / सिविल इंजीनियरिंग (जी/ रेलवे बोर्ड)
[Phone: 030-44803: Rly: 011-23383379:MTNL]
e-mail address : edceg2022@gmail.com

Copy to:

1. As per attached list.

2. **Managing Director, CRIS**, Chanakyapuri, New Delhi (with the request to compliance and report to Board's office for deactivation of Login ID of **M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha, Ward No. 12/C, Plot No. 224, Lilashah Nagar, Kutch, Gandhidham** and also with their allied/sister concerns/and firms/partners).

3. **M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha, Ward No. 12/C, Plot No. 224, Lilashah Nagar, Kutch, Gandhidham.**

List For Distribution (No. 2025/CE-I/CBL/13/M/s Mohanlal Maganlal Kakrecha New Delhi, dated: .02.2026)

1. General Managers, All Indian Railways & Production Units
2. General Manager (Con), N.F. Railway, Guwahati
3. General Manager /CORE, Allahabad
4. Chief Administrative Officers (Con), All Indian Railways (Except N.F. Railway)
5. Principal Chief Engineers, All Indian Railways

(A)

1. CAO, COFMOW, Tilak Bridge, New Delhi
2. Principal CAO, Diesel Loco Modernization Works, Patiala (Punjab)
3. CAO (Workshop Projects), Chamber Bhawan, Judge's Court Road, Anta Ghat, Patna-800001, Bihar

(B)

1. Director General, RDSO, Manak Nagar, Lucknow
2. Director General, NAIR, Vadodara
3. Director General, IRICEN, Pune – 411 001 (Maharashtra)
4. Director General, IRIEEN, PB No.-233, Nasik Road-422101 (Maharashtra)
5. Director General, IRISET, Taa Naka Road, Lalla Guda, Secunderabad-500017
6. Director, IRIMEE, Jamalpur Distt-Jamalpur, PIN-811214 (Bihar)
7. Director General, IRITM, Sarswati Residential Estate, IRITM Campus, Manak Nagar, Lucknow

(C)

1. CMD, CONCOR, Concor Bhawan, C-3, Mathura Road, Opp. Apollo Hospital, New Delhi- 110076
2. CMD, IRCON International Limited, C-4, District Centre, Saket, New Delhi- 110017
3. CMD, RITES Ltd., RITES Bhawan, Plot No.1, Sector-29, Gurgaon
4. CMD, RVNL, August Kranti Bhawan,Plot No.25, 1st Floor, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi
5. CMD, MRVC Ltd., Churchgate Station Bldg, Mumbai- 400020
6. CMD, KONKAN Railway Corporation Ltd, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi-110001
7. MD, DFCCIL, 5th Floor, Pragati Maidan Metro Station Building Complex, New Delhi-110001
8. Chairman, RLDA, Near Safdarjung Railway Station, Moti Bagh, Phase-I, New Delhi- 110021
9. CMD, RailTel Corporation of India Ltd. Plot No. 143, Institutional Area, Sector-44, Gurgaon – 122003
10. CME, IROAF, 12th Floor, Core-1, Scope Minar, Distt. Centre, Laxmi Nagar, Delhi- 110092
11. CMD, IRFC Limited, UG Floor, EastTower, NBCC Place, Bhisham Pitamah Marg, LodhiRoad, Pragati Vihar, New Delhi
12. CMD, IRCTC Ltd., B-148, 11th Floor, Statesman House, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi 110001
13. CMD, Braithwaite & Co. limited, 5 Hide Road Kolkata 700043

Copy to:

(A)

1. General Secretary, IRCA, DRM Office, New Delhi
2. General Secretary, AIRF, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
3. General Secretary, NFIR, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
4. General Secretary, IRPOF, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
5. General Secretary, FROA, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
6. General Secretary, AIRPA, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
7. General Secretary, AISC & STREA, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
8. The Secretary, RBSS, Group (A) Offices Association, Rail Bhawan
9. The Secretary, RBSS, Group (B) Offices Association, Rail Bhawan
10. General Secretary, RBSSS Association, Rail Bhawan
11. The Secretary, RBMSA, Rail Bhawan
12. The Secretary, Railway, Group (D) Employees Association, Rail Bhawan

(B)

1. Concerned PSO for kind information of Chairman cum CEO, M/Infra, M/T&RS M/O&BD, M/Finance, Railway Board
2. Adv./MR, EDPG/MR, OSD/MR, OSR(Co-ord)/MR, EDPG/MoSR(R), JDPG/MoSR(S)
3. Chief Vigilance Officers, All Indian Railways
4. DG(RHS), DG(RPF), AM(CE), AM(Works), AM(B), AM(Elec.), AM(RS), AM(ME), AM/Tele, AM/C&IS, AM/Sig., AM(Plg.), PED(B&S), PED(Vigilance), EDCE(P), PED/Safety, PED/Gati Shakti, EDCE(G), EDTK(M&MC), EDCE(B&S), EDF(X), ED/GS(Civil-I), ED/GS(Civil-II), ED/GS(Elect.), ED/GS(S&T), ED/GS/SD, EDW(Plg.), ED(L&A), ED(PSU), EDVE, ED(Safety), ED(Sig. Dev.), ED(EDRS(G), EDEE(G), EDFE, EDE(N), ED(Accounts), ED/T&MPP, EDME(Chg.), EDME(Frt.), ED/Plg., JS(conf), JS(P), JS(G), JS(D), Vigilance-III, Vig(Conf) of Railway Board.

20.2.26